

Energy Levels and Electromagnetic Transition of 90-94mo Nuclei Using Ibm-1

Omar Ahmed Muaffaq*
 Firas Mahmood Hady
 Faissal Gazi Hammody
 of physics-college of
 science –university
 of Diyala Iraq

*Email:omaralqadiry@yahoo.com-

Ahmed A. Al-Rubaiee
 of physics-college of
 science –university of
 Mustansiriya iraq
 Email:omaralqadiry@yahoo.com-

Abstract- The energy states for the ϑ , β , γ bands and electromagnetic transitions B (E2) values for even – even molybdenum $^{90-94}\text{Mo}$ nuclei are calculated in the present work of "the interacting boson model (IBM-1)" . The parameters of the equation of IBM-1 Hamiltonian are determined which yield the best excellent suit the experimental energy states . The positive parity of energy states are obtained by using IBS1. for program for even $^{90-94}\text{Mo}$ isotopes with bosons number 5 , 4 and 5 respectively. The" reduced transition probability B(E2)" of these nuclei are calculated and compared with the experimental data . The ratio of the excitation energies of the $4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ states (R4/2) are also calculated . The calculated and experimental (R4/2) values showed that the $^{90-94}\text{Mo}$ nuclei have the vibrational dynamical symmetry U(5). Good agreement was found from comparison between the calculated energy states and electric quadruple probabilities B(E2) transition of the $^{90-94}\text{Mo}$ isotopes with the experimental data .

Keywords - Energy states, Symmetry U(5), B(E2) value , molybdenum Mo isotopes.

I. Introduction

The " interacting bosons model (IBM-1)" is describe the nuclear states of isotopes (arima and Iachello,1975; Hasan *et al.*, 2017 ;Islam and Hossain2016). This model used to describe the nuclear excitation states and calculate it . The IBM-1 is successful in reproducing the energy states of isotopes (Iachello and Arima, 1987; Hummadi, 2017; kassim.*et al.*, 2016) .This model not distinguished between the proton and neutron bosons . The Hamiltonian of IBM-1 has three symmetries of U(5), O(6) and SU(3) (kassim *et al.*, 2016; Su Youn Lee *et al.*, 2017). The even – even $^{90-94}\text{Mo}$ isotopes are near to the magic number for neutrons at $z = 50$, while the number of protons in the open shell less than the magic number 50. The $^{92}\text{Mo}_{50}$ isotope has the magic number 50 that's make it more stable than $^{90}\text{Mo}_{48}$ and $^{94}\text{Mo}_{52}$.

II. The Interacting Boson Model

In term of s and d bosons operators the IBM-1 Hamiltonian can be written as (Arima and Iachello, Ann, 1978; Arima and Iachello, phys, 1978; Kassim *et al.*, 2018; Arima and Iachello, 1981; Iachello ,1981; Mohammed and Al-shimmery, 2011):

$$H = \epsilon_s (s^+ \cdot s^-) + \epsilon_d (d^+ \cdot d^-) + \sum_{L=0,2,4} 1/2 (2L+1)^{1/2} C_L \times [[d^+ \times d^+]^{(L)} \times [\bar{d} \times \bar{d}]^{(L)}]^{(0)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v_2 [[d^+ \times d^+]^{(2)} \times [\bar{d} \times \bar{s}]^{(2)} + [d^+ \times s^+]^{(2)} \times [\bar{d} \times \bar{d}]^{(2)}]^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} v_0 [[d^+ \times d^+]^{(0)} \times [\bar{s} \times \bar{s}]^{(0)} + [s^+ \times s^+]^{(0)} \times [\bar{d} \times \bar{d}]^{(0)}]^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} u_0 [[s^+ \times s^+]^{(0)} \times [\bar{s} \times \bar{s}]^{(0)}]^{(0)} + u_2 [[d^+ \times s^+]^{(2)} \times [\bar{d} \times \bar{s}]^{(2)}]^{(0)} \dots (1)$$

III. Dynamical Symmetry U(5)

Hamiltonian operator for this dynamical symmetry according to the following equation (Casten and Warner, 1988; sharrad *et al.*, 2013)

$$\hat{H} = \epsilon \hat{n}_d + a_1 (\hat{L} \cdot \hat{L}) + a_3 (\hat{T}_3 \cdot \hat{T}_3) + a_4 (\hat{T}_4 \cdot \hat{T}_4) \dots (2)$$

The eigen values for this symmetry can be given as (Bonatsos, 1988) :

$$U(5) : E(n_d, v, L) = \epsilon n_d + k_1 n_d (n_d + 4) + k_4 v (v + 3) + k_5 L (L + 1) \dots (3)$$

The vibrational dynamical symmetry represented by the sub – group U(5) and its quantum numbers that make it has diagonal attribute could be described as : (Shelley *et al.*, 2015).

$$U(6) \supset U(5) \supset O(5) \supset O(3) \supset O(2) \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 & M_L & L \\
 v, n\Delta & n_d &] N [
 \end{array}$$

Where [N] is number of boson (N= N π + N ν).

$$n_d = N, N - 1, \dots, 1, 0 \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

$$v = n_d, n_d - 2, \dots, 1 \text{ or } 0 \text{ (} n_d \text{ odd or even)} \dots\dots\dots(6)$$

(v) is called seniority and it represents the number of d – type bosons that are not coupled to zero angular momentum . (n Δ) is the number of triplet boson that are related to zero angular momentum this quantum number is added because there are many levels having the same angular momentum . So , it would be a transition from partial group O(5) to O(3) not fully decomposable .

$$I = \lambda, \lambda + 1, \dots, 2\lambda - 2, 2\lambda \dots\dots\dots(7)$$

(λ) wave function symbol ; the term (2 λ –1) is not shown because it doesn't full fill the symmetry attribute :

$$\lambda = v - 3n\Delta \dots\dots\dots(8)$$

$$-I \leq M_I \leq I \dots\dots\dots(9)$$

IV. Results and Discussion

1. Energy levels

The experimental values of "R $_{4/2}$ = (E $_4^+$ /E $_2^+$) of low lying energy levels" for ^{90}Mo , ^{92}Mo and ^{94}Mo isotopes are 2.1, 1.51 and 1.81, respectively. From this values we have identified U(5) symmetry in the ^{90}Mo , ^{92}Mo and ^{94}Mo nuclei . Table(1) shows theoretical and experimental values for R = (E 4_1^+ / E 2_1^+) . The energy levels band (0 $^+$, 2 $^+$, 4 $^+$, 6 $^+$, 8 $^+$) for $^{90-94}\text{Mo}$ isotopes have been calculated by using the equation (2) .

The $^{90}_{42}\text{Mo}_{48}$ nucleus has 42 protons and 48 neutrons . thus both its proton bosons and neutron bosons correspond to pairs of holes , taking the values N π = (50 – 42) / 2 = 4 and N ν = (50 – 48) / 2 = 1

The $^{90}_{42}\text{Mo}_{50}$ nucleus has 42 protons and 50 neutrons (magic number) that's make it more stable than $^{90}_{42}\text{Mo}_{48}$ and $^{94}_{42}\text{Mo}_{52}$ nuclei . The $^{94}_{42}\text{Mo}_{52}$ nucleus has 42 proton and 52 neutrons, thus its proton bosons correspond to pairs of holes , while its neutron bosons correspond to pairs of

particles , taking values N π = (50 – 42) / 2 = 4 and N ν = (52 – 50) / 2 = 1

Table 1 . Theoretical and experimental values of " R $_{4/2}$ = (E 4_1^+ / E 2_1^+)" For $^{90-94}\text{Mo}$ isotopes

| Nucl | IBM-1 | | | | Exp | | |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | E (2 $_1^+$) | E (4 $_1^+$) | E (4 $_1^+$) / E (2 $_1^+$) | E (2 $_1^+$) | E (4 $_1^+$) | E (4 $_1^+$) / E (2 $_1^+$) | |
| ^{90}Mo | 0.896 | 1.905 | 2.12 | 947.97 | 2002.06 | 2.1 | |
| ^{92}Mo | 1.509 | 1.824 | 1.21 | 1509.51 | 2282.61 | 1.51 | |
| ^{94}Mo | 0.873 | 1.846 | 2.1 | 871.1 | 1573.76 | 1.81 | |

pairs of particles , taking values N π = (50 – 42) / 2 = 4 and N ν = (52 – 50) / 2 = 1 .

The parameters have been calculated to find the energy levels (2 $^+$, 4 $^+$, 6 $^+$, 8 $^+$) and compard with the experimental values [NDS ENSDF for experimental data] . Table 2 shows the values of these parameters .

Table 2 .The values of the parameters that used in IBM- 1 calculations for the energy states of $^{90-94}\text{Mo}$ isotopes .

| Nucleus | EPS | P P | I . I | Q . Q | T3.T3 | T4 . T4 |
|------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|----------|---------|
| ^{90}Mo | 0.7856 | 0.000 | 0.0172 | 0.000 | - 0.1530 | 0.0172 |
| ^{92}Mo | 0.7510 | 0.000 | 0.0325 | 0.000 | - 0.1924 | 0.1811 |
| ^{94}Mo | 0.7556 | 0.000 | 0.0172 | 0.000 | - 0.1530 | 0.1270 |

The calculated states of g – band , β – band and γ – band and the experimental data of the states for $^{90-94}\text{Mo}$ isotopes are shown in figures . 1 , 2 and 3 . There are good agreements for calculated states with the experimental data [NDS ENSDF for experimental data] . The "interacting boson model (IBM-1)" was successfuled in predicting the g -, β -and γ -bands for $^{90-94}\text{Mo}$ isotopes as presented in Tables 3,4 and 5 .

Table 3 . The g – band for $^{90-94}\text{Mo}$ nuclei (in MeV)

| J π | $^{90}_{42}\text{Mo}_{48}$ | | $^{92}_{42}\text{Mo}_{50}$ | | $^{94}_{42}\text{Mo}_{52}$ | |
|---------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| | IBM-1 | Exp. | IBM-1 | Exp. | IBM-1 | Exp. |
| 0 $^+$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 $^+$ | 0.896 | 0.947 | 1.509 | 1.509 | 0.873 | 0.871 |
| 4 $^+$ | 1.905 | 2.002 | 1.824 | 2.282 | 1.846 | 1.573 |
| 6 $^+$ | 2.937 | 2.811 | 2.668 | 2.612 | 2.417 | 2.423 |
| 8 $^+$ | 3.634 | 2.874 | 3.828 | 2.760 | 3.113 | 2.955 |

Table 4 . The β – band for $^{90-94}\text{Mo}$ nuclei (in MeV)

| J π | $^{90}_{42}\text{Mo}_{48}$ | | $^{92}_{42}\text{Mo}_{50}$ | | $^{94}_{42}\text{Mo}_{52}$ | |
|---------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| | IBM-1 | Exp. | IBM-1 | Exp. | IBM-1 | Exp. |
| 0 $^+$ | 2.461 | 2.450 | 2.796 | 2.519 | 1.962 | 1.741 |
| 2 $^+$ | 2.702 | 2.613 | 2.807 | 3.091 | 2.131 | 1.864 |
| 4 $^+$ | 2.959 | | 3.044 | | 2.416 | 2.294 |
| 6 $^+$ | 3.474 | | 3.899 | | 2.925 | 2.872 |
| 8 $^+$ | 4.237 | | 5.059 | | 3.675 | |

Table 5 . The γ – band for $^{90-94}\text{Mo}$ nuclei (in MeV)

| J π | $^{90}_{42}\text{Mo}_{48}$ | | $^{92}_{42}\text{Mo}_{50}$ | | $^{94}_{42}\text{Mo}_{52}$ | |
|---------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| | IBM-1 | Exp. | IBM-1 | Exp. | IBM-1 | Exp. |
| 0 $^+$ | 1.953 | 1.979 | 3.352 | 3.841 | 2.898 | 3.320 |
| 2 $^+$ | 1.571 | 1.896 | 3.239 | 3.542 | 2.613 | 2.067 |
| 3 $^+$ | 2.235 | 2.432 | 2.530 | 2.849 | 3.175 | 2.805 |
| 4 $^+$ | 2.417 | | 3.363 | 3.876 | 2.916 | 2.564 |
| 5 $^+$ | 3.189 | 2.548 | 3.431 | 2.526 | 3.184 | 3.447 |
| 6 $^+$ | 2.932 | | 4.208 | | 3.424 | 3.165 |
| 7 $^+$ | 3.255 | 3.355 | 3.869 | 3.624 | 3.781 | |
| 8 $^+$ | 4.159 | | 5.367 | | 4.156 | |




Fig 1. The calculated states and available experimental data [NDS ENSDF for experimental data] for $^{90}_{42}\text{Mo}_{48}$ nucleus .




Fig 2 The calculated states and available experimental data [NDS ENSDF for experimental data] for $^{92}_{42}\text{Mo}_{50}$ nucleus .




Fig 3. The calculated states and available experimental data [NDS ENSDF for experimental data] for $^{94}_{42}\text{Mo}_{52}$ nucleus .

2.The B(E2) transitions value in U(5) symmetry

The transition is taken between the states $| [N] (n_d) \nu n \Delta I M_I \rangle$ of this line where the transition operator (T^{E2}) can be obtain form :

$$T^{E2} = \alpha_2 [d^* s + s^* d]^{(2)} + \beta_2 [d^* d]^{(2)} \dots\dots\dots(10)$$

Where α_2 and β_2 are parameters related to α_2 . (Kassim and Sharrad, 2014; Hossain *et al.*, 2015) .

$$B (E2 ; n_d+1 , \nu = n_d+1 , n \Delta = 0 ; I = 2n_d + 2 \rightarrow n_d , \nu = n_d , n \Delta = 0, I = 2n_d) \alpha_2^2 \frac{I+2}{2} \times \frac{2N-1}{2} \dots\dots\dots(11)$$

Notice that only the first term of \hat{T}^{E2} is contributes . This is due to the fact that the states in this limiting symmetry are characterized by the fixed number of d - bosons .

$$B (E2 ; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+) = \alpha_2^2 N \dots\dots\dots(12)$$

Table (6) . shows the calculated parameters (α_2 and β_2) which were obtained in the present work . The calculated values of "the reduced probability B(E₂) transitions" and the experimental data (Bonatsos, 1988) are presented in Table (7) . for $^{90-94}\text{Mo}$.

Table 6. The parameters of T^{E2} (in e b)

| Nucleus | α_2 | β_2 |
|------------------|------------|-----------|
| ^{90}Mo | 0 . 13 | - 0 . 065 |
| ^{92}Mo | 0 . 13 | - 0 . 06 |
| ^{94}Mo | 0 . 13 | - 0 . 07 |

Table 7 . B (E2) values for $^{90-94}\text{Mo}$ nuclei (in e² b²) [NDS ENSDF for experimental data]

| Ji - Jf | ^{90}Mo | | ^{92}Mo | | ^{94}Mo | |
|---------------------------|------------------|------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | IBM-1 | Exp. | IBM-1 | Exp. | IBM-1 | Exp. |
| $2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ | 1.450 | | 1.484 | 1.493 | 1.41 | 1.40 |
| $4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ | 2.403 | | 2.18 | 4.267 | 2.10 | 2.26 |
| $2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ | 0.356 | | 0.343 | 0.01 | 0.366 | |
| $2_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ | 0.217 | | 0.210 | | 0.223 | 0.035 |
| $2_3^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ | 0.0001 | | 0.0 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.027 |
| $2_3^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ | 0.0 | | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.192 |

V. Conclusion

The energy states for positive parity for ^{90}MO , ^{92}MO and ^{94}MO nuclei have been calculated by "using interacting boson model-1" and then used the same model (IBM-1) to calculate "the reduced probability of B(E2)" transition for the isotopes above. The calculated energy levels and " B(E2)" of $^{90-94}\text{Mo}$ nuclei shows a good agreement with the experimental data . From the ratio $R_{4/2}$ the symmetry of $^{90-94}\text{Mo}$ isotopes are the vibrational symmetry U(5). The calculations of the energy levels showed that the energy levels of isotope $^{92}\text{Mo}_{50}$ was highest than the energy levels of the isotopes $^{90}\text{Mo}_{48}$ and $^{94}\text{Mo}_{52}$ because the isotope $^{92}\text{Mo}_{50}$ have the magic number for the Neutrons and cause to high stable for this isotope .

VI. References

Arima, A. and Iachello, F. (1978). New Symmetry in the sd Boson Model of Nuclei: The Group O(6) . phys . rev . let . , 40, 385

- Arima, A. and Iachello, F. (1978) .Interacting boson model of collective nuclear states II. The rotational limit . Ann. of Phys. ,Vol. 111, Issue 1,P. 201-238 .
- Arima, A. and Iachello, F. (1981) . The Interacting Boson Model. Ann . Rev. Nucl. part . sci . , 31 , 75 .
- Arima, A. and Iachello, F. (1975) . Collective nuclear states as representations of a SU(6) Group . Phys . Rev . Lett . Vol.35 , P. 1069 – 1072 .
- Bonatsos, D.(1988). Interacting Boson Models of Nuclear structure. clarendon press oxford .
- Casten, R. F . and Warner, D.D. (1988) . The interacting boson approximation. Rev. mod . phys . , 60 : 389 .
- Hasan, M. ; Tabassum M. ; Roy, P.K. ; Tasnim, M.M.; Sakiband, K.N. and Islam, J. (2017) . Study of B(E2) Values of Even-Even $^{72-78}_{32}\text{Ge}$ Isotopes Using Interacting Boson Model-1 . American Journal of Modern Physics; 6(4): P. 76-80 .
- Hossain, I. ; Ahmed, I. M. ; Sharrad, F. I. ; Abdullah, H. Y. ; Salman, A. D. and Al Dahan, N. (2015) . Yrast states and B (E2) Values of Even $^{100-102}\text{Ru}$ Isotopes using Interacting boson model (IBM-1) . Chiang Mai J. Sci. , 42 (4) : P. 996 –1004 .
- Hummadi, S. (2017) . Study of nuclear structures for Nd 148 ,150 ,152 isotopes by using IBM-1. Al-Mustansiriyah Journal of Science ,Vol. 28, Issue 2 .
- Iachello, F. (1981) . Group theory and Nuclear spectroscopy . lecture notes in physics Nuclear spectroscopy , springer , Berlin .
- Iachello, F. and Arima, A. (1987) . The interacting boson model . cambridge university press cambridge, P. 52 – 54 .
- Islam, J . and Hossain, I. (2016) . Application of interacting boson model- 1 for even N = 68 ...78 for Te isotopes . Problems of atomic science and technology , P. 33– 37 .
- Kassim, H. H. ; Mohammed, Ali A. A. ; Sharrad, F. I. ; Hossain, I. and Khalid, Jassim, S. (2016) . Nuclear structure of even 178 – 182 Hf isotopes under the framework of interacting boson model (IBM-1) . Iranian journal of science and technology , transactions A: science .
- Kassim, H. H. and Sharrad, F.I. (2014) . Energy levels and electromagnetic transition of $^{190-196}\text{Pt}$ nuclei. International Journal of Modern Physics E23:1450070. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218301314500700> .
- Kassim, H. H.; Mohammed-Ali, A. A .; Abed Al-Jubbori, M .; sharrad, F. I. ; Ahmed A.S. and Hossain, I. (2018) . Calculation of some of the nuclear properties of even-even $^{172-176}\text{Hf}$ isotopes using IBM-1 . J.Natn. Sci. Foundation Sri Lanka, P. 3-10 .
- Mohammed Abdul Ameer and Mushtaq, A. H. (2011) . IBM–1 investigation for the even – even 180 – 190 W isotopes . Armenian Journal of physics , vol. 4 , issue 3, P. 146 – 153 .
- NDS ENSDF for experimental data .
- Sharrad, F. I. ; Abdullah, H. Y.; Al-Dahan, N.; Umran, N. M .; Okhunov, A. A. and Abu kassim, H. (2013) . Low – lying state of ^{184}W and ^{184}Os nuclei . Chinese physics C. vol . 37 , No . 3 ,034101 .
- Shelley, A.; Hossain, I.; Sharrad, F. I. ; Abdullah, H. Y. and Saeed, M. A. (2015). Yrast states and Electromagnetic reduce transition properties of ^{122}Te by Means of Interacting Boson Model -1 . problems of atomic science and technology .
- SU YOUN LEE; YOUNG JUN LEE AND LEE, J.H. (2017) . THE STUDY OF STRUCTURE IN 224–234 THORIUM NUCLEI WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK IBM .EPJ WEB CONFERENCES 146, 10016.